arXiv:math/0503726v1 [math.QA] 31 Mar 2005

Fusion of Baxter's Elliptic R-matrix and the Vertex-Face Correspondence[*]

Hitoshi Konno

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Integrated Arts & Sciences,
Hiroshima University, Higashi Hiroshima 739-8521, Japan
E-mail:konno@mis.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Abstract

The matrix elements of the 2×2 fusion of Baxter's elliptic R-matrix, $R^{(2,2)}(u)$, are given explicitly. Based on a note by Jimbo, we give a formula which show that $R^{(2,2)}(u)$ is gauge equivalent to Fateev's R-matrix for the 21-vertex model. Then the crossing symmetry formula for $R^{(2,2)}(u)$ is derived. We also consider the fusion of the vertex-face correspondence relation and derive a crossing symmetry relation between the fusion of the intertwining vectors and their dual vectors.

1 Notations

Let $p = e^{-\frac{\pi K'}{K}}$, $q = -e^{-\frac{\pi\lambda}{2K}}$ and $\zeta = e^{-\frac{\pi\lambda u}{2K}}$. We introduce x, τ and r by $x = -q$, $\tau = \frac{2iK}{K'}$ and $r = \frac{K'}{\lambda}$. Then $p = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{\tau}} = x^{2r}$. Through this paper, we assume $\mathrm{Im}\tau > 0$. Let $\tilde{p} = e^{2\pi i\tau} = e^{-\pi\frac{I'}{I}}$, where $I = \frac{K'}{2}$, $I' = 2K$. We use the theta functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_1(u|\tau) &= 2\tilde{p}^{1/8}(\tilde{p};\tilde{p})_\infty \sin\pi u \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - 2\tilde{p}^n\cos 2\pi u + \tilde{p}^{2n}),\\
\vartheta_0(u|\tau) &= -ie^{\pi i(u+\tau/4)}\vartheta_1\left(u + \frac{\tau}{2}\Big|\tau\right),\\
\vartheta_2(u|\tau) &= \vartheta_1\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\Big|\tau\right),
\end{aligned}$$

---

[*] To appear in the proceedings of the workshop "Solvable Lattice Models 2004", July 20–23, 2004, *RIMS Koukyuroku*, RIMS, Kyoto University.

$$\vartheta_3(u|\tau) = e^{\pi i(u+\tau/4)}\vartheta_1\left(u+\frac{\tau+1}{2}\Big|\tau\right)$$

and Jacobi's elliptic functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{sn}\lambda u &= \frac{\vartheta_3\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{\lambda u}{2I}\big|\,\tau\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{\lambda u}{2I}\big|\,\tau\right)} = \frac{\vartheta_3\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{r}\big|\,\tau\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u}{r}\big|\,\tau\right)},\\
\mathrm{cn}\lambda u &= \frac{\vartheta_0\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{\lambda u}{2I}\big|\,\tau\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{\lambda u}{2I}\big|\,\tau\right)} = \frac{\vartheta_0\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{r}\big|\,\tau\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u}{r}\big|\,\tau\right)},\\
\mathrm{dn}\lambda u &= \frac{\vartheta_0\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{\lambda u}{2I}\big|\,\tau\right)}{\vartheta_3\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{\lambda u}{2I}\big|\,\tau\right)} = \frac{\vartheta_0\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{u}{r}\big|\,\tau\right)}{\vartheta_3\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u}{r}\big|\,\tau\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also use the symbol $[u]$ defined by

$$[u] = x^{\frac{u^2}{r}-u}\Theta_{x^{2r}}(x^{2u}) = C\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{r}\Big|\,\tau\right), \quad C = x^{-\frac{r}{4}}e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and abbreviation

$$\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u}{2r}\Big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right) = \vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2r}\Big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{2r}\Big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right) = \vartheta_0\left(0|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{r}\Big|\,\tau\right),$$

etc..

2 Fusion of Baxter's R-matrix

Baxter's elliptic R-matrix is given by[1]

$$R(u) = R_0(u)\begin{pmatrix} a(u) & & & d(u)\\ & b(u) & c(u) & \\ & c(u) & b(u) & \\ d(u) & & & a(u)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$R_0(u) = z^{-\frac{r-1}{2r}}\frac{(px^2z;x^4,p)_\infty(x^2z;x^4,p)_\infty(p/z;x^4,p)_\infty(x^4/z;x^4,p)_\infty}{(px^2/z;x^4,p)_\infty(x^2/z;x^4,p)_\infty(pz;x^4,p)_\infty(x^4z;x^4,p)_\infty}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$a(u) = \frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1+u}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \qquad b(u) = \frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1+u}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$c(u) = \frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1+u}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \qquad d(u) = -\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1+u}{2r}\big|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)} \tag{2.4}$$

with $z = \zeta^2 = x^{2u}$. Let $V = \mathbb{C}v_{\varepsilon_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}v_{\varepsilon_2},\ \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = +, -$. We regard $R(u) \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$. The R-matrix (2.2) satisfies

$$R(u)PR(u)P = \mathrm{id}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$R(-u-1) = (\sigma^y \otimes 1)^{-1}\ (PR(u)P)^{t_1}\ \sigma^y \otimes 1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$R(0) = P, \qquad \lim_{u\to -1} R(u) = P - \mathrm{id}. \tag{2.7}$$

Here ^{t_1} denotes the transposition with respect to the first vector space in the tensor product and $P(\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2 \otimes \varepsilon_1$.

Fusion of $R(u)$ was considered systematically in [2]. Let $V^{(2)}$ be the space of the symmetric tensors in $V \otimes V$ spanned by $v_2^{(2)} \equiv v_+ \otimes v_+,\ v_0^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(v_+ \otimes v_- + v_- \otimes v_+),\ v_{-2}^{(2)} \equiv v_- \otimes v_-$.The projection operator of the space $V \otimes V$ on $V^{(2)}$ is given by $\Pi = \frac{1}{2}(P + \mathrm{id})$. Let $V_1, V_2, V_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{2}}$ be the copies of V. Define

$$R^{(2,1)}_{12,\bar{j}}(u) = \Pi_{12} R_{1\bar{j}}(u+1) R_{2\bar{j}}(u)\ \in \mathrm{End}(V^{(2)} \otimes V_{\bar{j}}). \tag{2.8}$$

It follows that

$$R^{(2,1)}_{12,\bar{j}}(u)\Pi_{12} = R^{(2,1)}_{12,\bar{j}}(u). \tag{2.9}$$

The 2×2 fusion of the R-matrix is then given by

$$R^{(2,2)}(u) = \Pi_{\bar{1}\bar{2}} R^{(2,1)}_{12,\bar{2}}(u) R^{(2,1)}_{12,\bar{1}}(u-1)\ \in \mathrm{End}(V^{(2)} \otimes V^{(2)}). \tag{2.10}$$

This satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation on $V^{(2)} \otimes V^{(2)} \otimes V^{(2)}$.

We calculate the matrix elements of $R^{(2,1)}(u)$ and $R^{(2,2)}(u)$ defined by

$$R^{(2,1)}(u) v^{(2)}_{\mu} \otimes v_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{\substack{\mu'=2,0,-2 \\ \varepsilon'=+,-}} R^{(2,1)}(u)^{\mu\varepsilon}_{\mu'\varepsilon'}\ v^{(2)}_{\mu'} \otimes v_{\varepsilon'}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$R^{(2,2)}(u) v^{(2)}_{\mu_1} \otimes v^{(2)}_{\mu_2} = \sum_{\mu'_1,\mu'_2=2,0,-2} R^{(2,2)}(u)^{\mu_1\mu_2}_{\mu'_1\mu'_2}\ v^{(2)}_{\mu'_1} \otimes v^{(2)}_{\mu'_2}. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.8), we have

$$R^{(2,1)}(u)^{\mu\ \bar{\varepsilon}}_{\mu'\ \bar{\varepsilon}'} = \sum_{\varepsilon'_2, \bar{\varepsilon}''=\pm 1} R(u+1)^{\mu-\varepsilon_2\ \bar{\varepsilon}''}_{\mu'-\varepsilon'_2\ \bar{\varepsilon}'} R(u)^{\varepsilon_2\ \bar{\varepsilon}}_{\varepsilon'_2\ \bar{\varepsilon}''}, \tag{2.13}$$

where we set $\mu = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2,\ \mu' = \varepsilon'_1 + \varepsilon'_2$. Evaluating the summation explicitly, we obtain

Proposition 2.1

$$R^{(2,1)}(u) = R_0^{(2,1)}(u)\begin{pmatrix} R^{+2+}_{+2+} & 0 & 0 & R^{\ 0-}_{+2+} & R^{-2+}_{+2+} & 0 \\ 0 & R^{+2-}_{+2-} & R^{\ 0+}_{+2-} & 0 & 0 & R^{-2-}_{+2-} \\ 0 & R^{+2-}_{\ 0+} & R^{0+}_{0+} & 0 & 0 & R^{-2-}_{\ 0+} \\ R^{+2+}_{\ 0-} & 0 & 0 & R^{\ 0-}_{\ 0-} & R^{-2+}_{\ 0-} & 0 \\ R^{+2+}_{-2+} & 0 & 0 & R^{\ 0-}_{-2+} & R^{-2+}_{-2+} & 0 \\ 0 & R^{+2-}_{-2-} & R^{\ 0+}_{-2-} & 0 & 0 & R^{-2-}_{-2-} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_0^{(2,1)}(u) &= R_0(u+1)R_0(u) = -\frac{[u+1]}{[u]}, \\
R(u)^{+2+}_{+2+} = R(u)^{-2-}_{-2-} &= \frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\
R(u)^{\ 0-}_{+2+} = R(u)^{\ 0+}_{-2-} &= -\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\
R(u)^{-2+}_{+2+} = R(u)^{+2-}_{-2-} &= -\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\
R(u)^{+2-}_{+2-} = R(u)^{-2+}_{-2+} &= \frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\
R(u)^{\ 0+}_{+2-} = R(u)^{\ 0-}_{-2+} &= \frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\
R(u)^{-2-}_{+2-} = R(u)^{+2+}_{-2+} &= -\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\
R(u)^{+2-}_{0\ +} = R(u)^{-2+}_{0\ -} &= \frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\
R(u)^{+2+}_{0\ -} = R(u)^{-2-}_{0\ +} &= -\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\
R(u)^{0\ +}_{0\ +} = R(u)^{0\ -}_{0\ -} &= \frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from (2.10), we obtain

$$R^{(2,2)}(u)^{\mu_1\ \mu_2}_{\mu_1'\ \mu_2'} = \sum_{\substack{\mu''=0,\pm 2\\ \bar{\varepsilon}_1'=\pm 1}} R^{(2,1)}(u)^{\mu''\ \mu_2-\bar{\varepsilon}_1}_{\mu_1'\ \mu_2'-\bar{\varepsilon}_1'} R^{(2,1)}(u-1)^{\mu_1\ \bar{\varepsilon}_1}_{\mu''\ \bar{\varepsilon}_1'},$$

where $\mu_1 = \varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2,\ \mu_1' = \varepsilon_1'+\varepsilon_2'$ and $\mu_2 = \bar{\varepsilon}_1+\bar{\varepsilon}_2,\ \mu_2' = \bar{\varepsilon}_1'+\bar{\varepsilon}_2'$.

Proposition 2.2

$$R^{(2,2)}(u) = R_0^{(2,2)}(u) \begin{pmatrix} G & 0 & A & 0 & B & 0 & A & 0 & H \\ 0 & F & 0 & C & 0 & C^* & 0 & D & 0 \\ A^* & 0 & G^* & 0 & B^* & 0 & H^* & 0 & A^* \\ 0 & C & 0 & F & 0 & D & 0 & C^* & 0 \\ I & 0 & I^* & 0 & E & 0 & I^* & 0 & I \\ 0 & C^* & 0 & D & 0 & F & 0 & C & 0 \\ A^* & 0 & H^* & 0 & B^* & 0 & G^* & 0 & A^* \\ 0 & D & 0 & C^* & 0 & C & 0 & F & 0 \\ H & 0 & A & 0 & B & 0 & A & 0 & G \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_0^{(2,2)}(u) &= R_0^{(2,1)}(u-1)R_0^{(2,1)}(u) = \frac{[u+1]}{[u-1]}, \\ A &= R(u)^{+2-2}_{+2+2} = R(u)^{-2+2}_{-2-2} = R(u)^{-2+2}_{+2+2} = R(u)^{+2-2}_{-2-2} \\ &= -\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\ B &= R(u)^{0\,0}_{+2+2} = R(u)^{0\,0}_{-2-2} = -\frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\ C &= R(u)^{+2\,0}_{0+2} = R(u)^{-2\,0}_{0-2} = R(u)^{0+2}_{+2\,0} = R(u)^{0-2}_{-2\,0} = \frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\ D &= R(u)^{+2\,0}_{-2\,0} = R(u)^{-2\,0}_{+2\,0} = R(u)\,^{0+2}_{0-2} = R(u)\,^{0-2}_{0+2} = -\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\ E &= R(u)\,^{0\,0}_{0\,0} \\ &= \frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\left(\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u-1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)+\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u-1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)} \\ &\quad +\frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \\ F &= R(u)\,^{0+2}_{0+2} = R(u)\,^{0-2}_{0-2} = R(u)^{+2\,0}_{+2\,0} = R(u)^{-2\,0}_{-2\,0} = \frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G &= R(u)^{+2+2}_{+2+2} = R(u)^{-2-2}_{-2-2} \\ &= \frac{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\left(\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^4\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u-1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)+\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^4\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u-1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^4\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$H = R(u)^{+2+2}_{-2-2} = R(u)^{-2-2}_{+2+2} = \frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} I &= R(u)^{+2+2}_{\ 0\ \ 0} = R(u)^{-2-2}_{\ 0\ \ 0} \\ &= -\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\left(\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u-1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)+\vartheta_1\left(\frac{1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u-1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)} \\ &\quad -\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2\vartheta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u+2}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

The $*$-ed matrix element is obtained from a corresponding non-$*$-ed element by replacing the theta functions only depending on u in the following rule.

$$\vartheta_1 \to -\vartheta_2, \quad \vartheta_2 \to \vartheta_1.$$

From this expression, one can easily see the following symmetries.

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(2,2)}(u)^{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}_{\varepsilon_1'\varepsilon_2'} &= R^{(2,2)}(u)^{\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_1}_{\varepsilon_2'\varepsilon_1'}, && (P\text{−invariance}) \\ R^{(2,2)}(u)^{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}_{\varepsilon_1'\varepsilon_2'} &= R^{(2,2)}(u)^{-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2}_{-\varepsilon_1'-\varepsilon_2'} && (\mathbb{Z}_2-\text{symmetry}). \end{aligned}$$

In 1980, Fateev proposed the 21-vertex model as the spin one extension of Baxter's eight vertex model[3]. Solving the Yang-Baxter equation, he obtained the following R-matrix.

$$R_F(u) = \tilde{F}(u)\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu & 0 & 0 & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & t & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T & 0 & 0 & 0 & R & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 & t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R & 0 & 0 & 0 & T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q & 0 & a & 0 \\ \nu & 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$s_1 = \mathrm{cn}2\lambda + \frac{\mathrm{sn}\lambda\ \mathrm{sn}2\lambda}{\mathrm{sn}\lambda u\ \mathrm{sn}\lambda(u+1)},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&s_2 = \mathrm{cn}2\lambda + \mathrm{dn}2\lambda - 1 + \frac{\mathrm{sn}\lambda\ \mathrm{sn}2\lambda}{\mathrm{sn}\lambda u\ \mathrm{sn}\lambda(u+1)},\\
&s_3 = \mathrm{dn}2\lambda + \frac{\mathrm{sn}\lambda\ \mathrm{sn}2\lambda}{\mathrm{sn}\lambda u\ \mathrm{sn}\lambda(u+1)},\\
&T = 1, \qquad\qquad t = \mathrm{cn}2\lambda, \qquad\qquad a = \mathrm{dn}2\lambda,\\
&r = \frac{\mathrm{cn}\lambda u\ \mathrm{sn}2\lambda}{\mathrm{sn}\lambda u}, \qquad\qquad \mu = -\frac{\mathrm{cn}\lambda(u+1)\ \mathrm{sn}2\lambda}{\mathrm{sn}\lambda(u+1)},\\
&R = \frac{\mathrm{sn}2\lambda}{\mathrm{sn}\lambda u}, \qquad\qquad \nu = -\frac{\mathrm{sn}2\lambda}{\mathrm{sn}\lambda(u+1)},\\
&q = \frac{\mathrm{dn}\lambda u\ \mathrm{sn}2\lambda}{\mathrm{sn}\lambda u}, \qquad\qquad \rho = -\frac{\mathrm{dn}\lambda(u+1)\ \mathrm{sn}2\lambda}{\mathrm{sn}\lambda(u+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\tilde{F}(u)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}(u) &= \tilde{F}(-u-1),\\
\tilde{F}(u)\tilde{F}(-u) &= \frac{\mathrm{sn}^2\lambda u}{\mathrm{sn}^2\lambda u - \mathrm{sn}^2 2\lambda}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$R_F(u)$ has the following symmetries.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_F(u)^{ij}_{kl} &= R_F(u)^{ji}_{lk} && (P-\text{invariance})\\
R_F(u)^{ij}_{kl} &= R_F(u)^{kl}_{ij}, && (T-\text{invariance})\\
R_F(u)^{ij}_{kl} &= R_F(-u-1)^{kj}_{il} && (\text{Crossing symmetry}).
\end{aligned}
$$

We find

Proposition 2.3

$$
\tilde{F}(u) = R_0^{(2,2)}(u)\frac{\vartheta_0\left(\frac{2}{r}\middle|\tau\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u}{r}\middle|\tau\right)}{\vartheta_0\left(0|\tau\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+2}{r}\middle|\tau\right)}.
$$

Then the following theorem is essentially due to Jimbo[4].

Theorem 2.4 *$R^{(2,2)}(u)$ is gauge equivalent to $R_F(u)$. Namely,*

$$
R_F(u) = U\otimes U\ R^{(2,2)}(u)\ (U\otimes U)^{-1},
$$

where

$$
U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & x & 0\\ 0 & 0 & y \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix},
$$

and

$$
x^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\vartheta_0\left(0|\tau\right)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\tau\right)}{\vartheta_0\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\tau\right)\vartheta_3\left(0|\tau\right)}, \quad y^2 = -\frac{\vartheta_2\left(0|\tau\right)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\tau\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\tau\right)\vartheta_3\left(0|\tau\right)}.
$$

Combining the crossing symmetry of $R_F(u)$ and the P-invariance of $R^{(2,2)}(u)$, we find the following crossing symmetry formula for $R^{(2,2)}(u)$.

Corollary 2.5

$$R^{(2,2)}(-u-1) = Q^{-1}\otimes 1\ (P^{(2)}R^{(2,2)}(u)P^{(2)})^{t_1}\ Q\otimes 1, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$Q = U^tU = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1+y^2 & 0 & 1-y^2 \\ 0 & x^2 & 0 \\ 1-y^2 & 0 & 1+y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $P^{(2)}$ *is the permutation operator* $P^{(2)}(v^{(2)}_{\varepsilon_1}\otimes v^{(2)}_{\varepsilon_2}) = v^{(2)}_{\varepsilon_2}\otimes v^{(2)}_{\varepsilon_1}$.

Remark :

The crossing symmetry of the elliptic R-matrix is related to the dual module of the finite dimensional module of the elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, or the module of the Sklyanin algebra. See [5] for the case $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. Let V_ζ be the 3-dimensional module of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, and V^*_ζ its dual module. The above Q-matrix gives an isomorphism between V_ζ and V^*_ζ.

3 The Vertex-Face Correspondence

The vertex-face correspondence is a relationship between Baxter's R-matrix and the SOS face weight $W\left(\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_4 & a_3 \end{matrix}\middle| u\right)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
W\left(\begin{matrix} n & n\pm 1 \\ n\pm 1 & n\pm 2 \end{matrix}\middle| u\right) &= R_0(u), \\
W\left(\begin{matrix} n & n\pm 1 \\ n\pm 1 & n \end{matrix}\middle| u\right) &= R_0(u)\frac{[n\mp u][1]}{[n][1+u]}, \\
W\left(\begin{matrix} n & n\pm 1 \\ n\mp 1 & n \end{matrix}\middle| u\right) &= R_0(u)\frac{[n\pm 1][u]}{[n][1+u]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Let us consider the following vectors in V

$$\psi(u)^a_b = \psi_+(u)^a_b\ v_+ + \psi_-(u)^a_b\ v_-, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\psi_+(u)^a_b = \vartheta_0\left(\frac{(a-b)u+a}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right), \qquad \psi_-(u) = \vartheta_3\left(\frac{(a-b)u+a}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)$$

with $|a-b|=1$. Baxter showed the following identity[1].

$$\sum_{\varepsilon_1',\varepsilon_2'} R(u-v)^{\varepsilon_1'\varepsilon_2'}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}\ \psi_{\varepsilon_1'}(u)^a_b \psi_{\varepsilon_2'}(v)^b_c = \sum_{b'\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{\varepsilon_2}(v)^a_{b'}\psi_{\varepsilon_1}(u)^{b'}_c W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b' & c \end{array}\right| u-v\right). \tag{3.3}$$

3.1 Fusion

Following Date et al.[2], we consider the fusion of the Vertex-Face correspondence relation (3.3).

The fusion of the SOS weights is briefly summarized as follows. The SOS weight (3.1) satisfies

$$W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ d & c \end{array}\right| 0\right) = \delta_{b,d}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ d & c \end{array}\right| -1\right) = 0 \qquad \text{if } |a-c|=2, \tag{3.5}$$

$$W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & a\pm 1 \\ a\pm 1 & a \end{array}\right| -1\right) = -W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & a\pm 1 \\ a\mp 1 & a \end{array}\right| -1\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Then if one defines

$$W_{21}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ d & c \end{array}\right| u\right) = \sum_{d'} W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & a' \\ d & d' \end{array}\right| u+1\right) W\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a' & b \\ d' & c \end{array}\right| u\right), \tag{3.7}$$

one can verify the following statements.

(i) The RHS of (3.7) is independent of the choice of a' provided $|a-a'|=|a'-b|=1$.

(ii) For all a,b,c,d,

$$W_{21}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ d & c \end{array}\right| -1\right) = 0.$$

The 2×2 fusion of the SOS weight is then given by the formula

$$W_{22}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ d & c \end{array}\right| u\right) = \sum_{a'} W_{21}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ a' & b' \end{array}\right| u-1\right) W_{21}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a' & b' \\ d & c \end{array}\right| u\right). \tag{3.8}$$

Here the RHS is independent of the choice of b' provided $|b-b'|=|b'-c|=1$. Now the dynamical variables a,b,c,d satisfies the extended admissible condition; $a_j-a_k\in\{2,0,-2\}$ for any two adjacent local heights a_j,a_k. Furthermore the resultant SOS weight W_{22} satisfies the face type YBE and defines the 2×2 fusion SOS model. Explicit expressions of $W_{22}\left(\begin{matrix} a & b \\ d & c \end{matrix}\middle| u\right)$ are given, for example, in [6]. It satisfies the unitarity and crossing symmetry relations

$$\sum_s W_{22}\left(\begin{matrix} a & s \\ d & c \end{matrix}\middle| -u\right) W_{22}\left(\begin{matrix} a & b \\ s & c \end{matrix}\middle| u\right) = \delta_{b,d}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$W_{22}\left(\begin{matrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{matrix}\middle| u\right) = \frac{(b,c)_2\ g_a g_c}{(a,d)_2\ g_b g_d} W_{22}\left(\begin{matrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{matrix}\middle| -1-u\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Here $g_a = \varepsilon_a \sqrt{[a]}$ $\varepsilon_a = \pm 1$, $\varepsilon_a \varepsilon_{a+1} = (-)^a$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a,b)_M = (b,a)_M = \begin{bmatrix} M \\ \frac{a-b+M}{2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \frac{\left[\frac{a+b-M}{2}, \frac{a+b+M}{2}\right]}{\sqrt{[a][b]}}, \\
&\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[A][A-1]\cdots[A-B+1]}{[B][B-1]\cdots[1]}, \\
&[A,B] = [A][A+1]\cdots[B] \quad (A<B), \qquad [A,A-1] = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The fusion of the intertwining vectors is given by

$$\psi^{(2)}(u)^a_b = \Pi\ \psi(u+1)^a_c \otimes \psi(u)^c_b\ \in V \otimes V. \tag{3.11}$$

The RHS is independent of the choice of c provided $|a-c| = |c-b| = 1$. Then using (3.3), (2.8), (2.10), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.11), pne can show

$$\sum_{\mu_1',\mu_2'} R^{(2,2)}(u-v)^{\mu_1'\mu_2'}_{\mu_1\mu_2}\ \psi^{(2)}_{\mu_1'}(u)^a_b \psi^{(2)}_{\mu_2'}(v)^b_c = \sum_{b'\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi^{(2)}_{\mu_2}(v)^a_{b'} \psi^{(2)}_{\mu_1}(u)^{b'}_c W_{22}\left(\begin{matrix} a & b \\ b' & c \end{matrix}\middle| u-v\right). \tag{3.12}$$

Explicitly, the vector $\psi^{(2)}(u)^a_b$ is calculated as follows[6].

Proposition 3.1

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi^{(2)}_2(u)^n_{n+2} \\ \psi^{(2)}_0(u)^n_{n+2} \\ \psi^{(2)}_{-2}(u)^n_{n+2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_0\left(\frac{u-n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u-n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \\ 2\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u-n}{r}\middle|\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\tau\right) \\ \vartheta_3\left(\frac{u-n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{u-n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi^{(2)}_2(u)^n_{n} \\ \psi^{(2)}_0(u)^n_{n} \\ \psi^{(2)}_{-2}(u)^n_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_0\left(\frac{u-n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u+n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \\ 2\vartheta_0\left(\frac{n}{r}\middle|\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u+1}{r}\middle|\tau\right) \\ \vartheta_3\left(\frac{u-n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{u+n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi^{(2)}_{2}(u)^{n}_{n-2} \\ \psi^{(2)}_{0}(u)^{n}_{n-2} \\ \psi^{(2)}_{-2}(u)^{n}_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_0\left(\frac{u+n+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u+n-1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \\ 2\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u+n}{r}\middle|\,\tau\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\tau\right) \\ \vartheta_3\left(\frac{u+n+1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{u+n-1}{2r}\middle|\,\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.2 The dual intertwining vectors and their fusion

Let us consider the dual vector $\psi^*(u)^a_b$ defined by

$$\psi^*(u)^a_b\ v_\varepsilon = \psi^*_\varepsilon(u)^a_b, \qquad \psi^*_\varepsilon(u)^a_b = -\varepsilon\frac{a-b}{2[b][u]}C^2\ \psi_{-\varepsilon}(u-1)^a_b \tag{3.13}$$

with $|a-b|=1$. By a direct calculation, we verify the inversion relations

$$\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm}\psi^*_\varepsilon(u)^a_b\psi_\varepsilon(u)^b_c = \delta_{a,c}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\sum_{a=b\pm 1}\psi^*_{\varepsilon'}(u)^a_b\psi_\varepsilon(u)^b_a = \delta_{\varepsilon',\varepsilon}. \tag{3.15}$$

Hence we call $\psi^*(u)^a_b$ the dual intertwining vector. From the crossing symmetry properties of R and W the following vertex-face correspondence is held.

$$\sum_{\varepsilon'_1,\varepsilon'_2} R(u-v)^{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}_{\varepsilon'_1\varepsilon'_2}\ \psi^*_{\varepsilon'_1}(u)^a_b\psi^*_{\varepsilon'_2}(v)^b_c = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi^*_{\varepsilon_2}(v)^a_{b'}\psi^*_{\varepsilon_1}(u)^{b'}_c W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & b' & \\ & & u-v \\ b & a & \end{array}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

The fusion of the dual intertwining vectors is given by[6]

$$\psi^{*(2)}(u)^b_a = \sum_{c=a\pm 1}\psi^*(u+1)^c_a \otimes \psi^*(u)^b_c. \tag{3.17}$$

Then $\psi^{*(2)}(u)^b_a$ satisfies

$$\Pi\ \psi^{*(2)}(u)^b_a = \psi^{*(2)}(u)^b_a\ \Pi. \tag{3.18}$$

In the components, (3.17) yields

$$\psi^{*(2)}_\mu(u)^b_a = \sum_{c=a\pm 1}\psi^*_{\varepsilon_1}(u+1)^c_a\psi^*_{\varepsilon_2}(u)^b_c. \tag{3.19}$$

The relation (3.18) indicates that the RHS of (3.19)is independent of the choice of $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ proivided $\mu = \varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2$. Then using (3.14) and (3.15), it is easy to verify the following inversion relations.

Proposition 3.2

$$\sum_{\varepsilon=0,\pm 2} \psi^{*(2)}_{\varepsilon}(u)^a_b \psi^{(2)}_{\varepsilon}(u)^b_c = \delta_{a,c}, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\sum_{a=b,b\pm 2} \psi^{*(2)}_{\varepsilon'}(u)^a_b \psi^{(2)}_{\varepsilon}(u)^b_a = \delta_{\varepsilon',\varepsilon}. \tag{3.21}$$

Furthermore, in the similar way to the derivation of (3.12), we obtain the fused form of (3.16)

$$\sum_{\mu'_1,\mu'_2} R^{(2,2)}(u-v)^{\mu'_1\mu'_2}_{\mu_1\mu_2}\ \psi^{*(2)}_{\mu'_1}(u)^a_b \psi^{*(2)}_{\mu'_2}(v)^b_c = \sum_{b'\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi^{*(2)}_{\mu_2}(v)^a_{b'} \psi^{*(2)}_{\mu_1}(u)^{b'}_c W_{22}\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & b' & \\ & & u-v \\ b & a & \end{array}\right). \tag{3.22}$$

The expression of $\psi^{*(2)}_{\mu}(u)^b_a$ $(\mu = 2, 0, -2)$ is evaluated as follows.

Proposition 3.3

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi^{*(2)}_2(u)^n_{n+2} \\ \psi^{*(2)}_0(u)^n_{n+2} \\ \psi^{*(2)}_{-2}(u)^n_{n+2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{C^4}{4[n+1][n+2][u][u+1]} \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_3\left(\frac{u-n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2 \\ -\vartheta_3\left(\frac{u-n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u-n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \\ \vartheta_0\left(\frac{u-n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi^{*(2)}_2(u)^n_{n} \\ \psi^{*(2)}_0(u)^n_{n} \\ \psi^{*(2)}_{-2}(u)^n_{n} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{C^5}{4[n][n+1][n-1][u][u+1]}$$
$$\times \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_3\left(\frac{u+n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{u-n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{n-1}{r}\middle|\tau\right) + \vartheta_3\left(\frac{u-n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_3\left(\frac{u+n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{n+1}{r}\middle|\tau\right) \\ -\vartheta_1\left(\frac{n}{r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u}{r}\middle|\tau\right) \\ \vartheta_0\left(\frac{u+n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u-n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{n-1}{r}\middle|\tau\right) + \vartheta_0\left(\frac{u-n+1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u+n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_1\left(\frac{n+1}{r}\middle|\tau\right) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi^{*(2)}_2(u)^n_{n-2} \\ \psi^{*(2)}_0(u)^n_{n-2} \\ \psi^{*(2)}_{-2}(u)^n_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{C^4}{4[n-1][n-2][u][u+1]} \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_3\left(\frac{u+n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2 \\ -\vartheta_3\left(\frac{u+n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\vartheta_0\left(\frac{u+n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \\ \vartheta_0\left(\frac{u+n-1}{2r}\middle|\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying the crossing symmetry relations (2.14) and (3.10) twice to (3.12), we obtain the relation which should be compared with (3.22). Then fixing the suitable normalization function, we obtain

Theorem 3.4

$$\psi^{*(2)}_{\varepsilon}(u)^{a}_{b} = -\frac{C^4}{4[u][u+1]}\frac{\vartheta_3\left(0|\,\tau\right)}{\vartheta_3\left(\frac{1}{r}\middle|\,\tau\right)}\frac{g_a}{g_b(a,b)_2}\sum_{\varepsilon'=0,\pm 2} Q^{\varepsilon'}_{\varepsilon}\psi^{(2)}_{\varepsilon'}(u-1)^{a}_{b}.$$

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Michio Jimbo for sending his note and for stimulating discussions. He is also grateful to Takeo Kojima and Robert Weston for their collaboration in the work [6].

References

[1] R.Baxter, “Eight-Vertex Model in Lattice Statistics and One-Dimensional Anisotropic Heisenberg Chain. I, II, III”,*Ann.Phys.* **76** (1973) 1–24, 25–47, 48–71.

[2] E.Date, M.Jimbo, A.Kuniba, T.Miwa and M.Okado,“Exactly Solvable SOS Models II: Proof of the star-triangle relation and combinatorial identities”, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* **16**, (1988) 17–122.

[3] V.A.Fateev, “A Factorized S-Matrix for Particles of Opposite Parities and Integrable 21-Vertex Statistical Model”, *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **33**, (1981) 761–766.

[4] M.Jimbo, unpublished note 1986.

[5] M.Jimbo and T.Miwa, “Algebraic Analysis of Solvable Lattice Models”, Regional Conference Series in Math. No. 85, CBMS, AMS, 1995.

[6] T.Kojima, H.Konno and R.Weston, “The Vertex-Face Correspondence and Correlation Functions of Fusion Eight-Vertex Model”, in preparation.